

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/787,516	02/26/2004	Boris Verman	10544-288	6460		
75	90 07/12/2006		EXAMINER			
John M. Card			KAO, CHIH	KAO, CHIH CHENG G		
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. Box 10395			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Chicago, IL 60			2882			
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/787,516		VERMAN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Chih-Cheng Glen Kao	2882		

	Chih-Cheng Glen Kao	2882	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ldress
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a No ving replies: (1) an amendme tice of Appeal (with appeal for the with 37 CFR 1.114. The re	tice of Appeal. To avoid at ent, affidavit, or other evide ee) in compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date sater than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final reject	ction.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 (tension and the corresponding a shortened statutory period for re than three months after the ma	CFR 1.136(a) and the appropr mount of the fee. The appropoly originally set in the final O	iate extension fee oriate extension fee ffice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37	(e)), to avoid dismissal of	iths of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (sew);	ee NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		. (DTOL 204)
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	:		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		·	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 36-59. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under y and was not earlier presen	appeal and/or appellant f ted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu			ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) P	in Mr	
	SUPI	EDWARD SLICK ERVISORY PATENT EX	AMIDIAL:

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Regarding at least claim 36, the added recitations, including "a second aperture which maximizes flux incident on the sample by occluding a portion of the x-ray beam to reduce the background radiation around the sample, the second aperture being positioned between the first aperture and the sample, raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.